

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/524,687	CEMIC ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jarreas C. Underwood	2877	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jarreas C. Underwood.

(2) Glen Law.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 May 2007

**Time:** 1149

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 5/10 Examiner called attorney about examiner's amendment to abstract and specification. Attorney agreed to consider. On 5/11 Attorney contacted examiner and agreed to changes, and intended to file electronic amendment. On 5/16 Attorney confirmed appearance of amendment in PAIR, but examiner didn't see it in eDAN. On 5/22 It appeared in eDAN and examiner proceeds with case. On 5/24 Examiner contacted attorney for IDS references A10-A13. They were faxed and considered by examiner.